UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,783	02/03/2004	Daisuke Nagao	118543	9289
	244 7590 04/11/2007 LIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 1992	8		CLARK, SHEILA V	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2823	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/769,783	NAGAO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		S. V. Clark	2823			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,	•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	·			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2, 5-17 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 2-3-04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/769,783

Art Unit: 2823

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-4, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al.

Aoki et al teaches manufacturing method for a three-dimensional structural body comprising, sequentially bonding/transferring and laminating (see 0053, 0054 and 0083 and step of transferring is also taught in 0089). A plurality of cross-sectional form members 14 are shown, each being held in space above a first substrate by a holding member 30 and corresponding to a slice pattern of a three-dimensional structural body, onto a second substrate 30.

With regard to claim 3, the holding member comprises a coupling member 32 connected to the cross-sectional form member 143, and a frame member provided between the coupling member and the first substrate.

With regard to claim 4, the frame member 18 includes a columnar part positioning member shown in figure 17 is provided on the first substrate said frame part is provided on the columnar part and connected to the coupling member.

With regard to claim 18, the three dimensional body includes a photonic crystal (see paragraph 0003 and 0005).

Claims 1, 3-4, 18 are rejected.

Art Unit: 2823

Claims 2, 5-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

PTO-892 cites three dimensional photonic crystals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to S. V. Clark at telephone number (571) 272-1725.

Ś. V. Clark

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

March 31, 2007